

## Attention and Perception: 40 reviews, 40 views

Joy J Geng, Andrew B Leber and Sarah Shomstein

Current Opinion in Psychology 2019, 29:v-viii

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#)

Available online 12th September 2019

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.09.001>

2352-250X/© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

### Joy J Geng



Department of Psychology, Center for Mind and Brain at University of California Davis, United states  
e-mail: [jgeng@ucdavis.edu](mailto:jgeng@ucdavis.edu)

Joy Geng is an Associate Professor of Psychology and a member of the Center for Mind and Brain at UC Davis. She received her Ph.D. from Carnegie Mellon University. Her research examines the cognitive and brain systems underlying visual attention in humans. The focus is to understand how prior knowledge and the current environmental context shape attentional processes and perception in typical and atypical individuals.

### Andrew B Leber



Department of Psychology and Center for Cognitive & Brain Sciences, The Ohio State University, United states  
e-mail: [leber.30@osu.edu](mailto:leber.30@osu.edu)

Andrew Leber is an Associate Professor of Psychology and Director of the Center for Cognitive & Brain Sciences at The Ohio State University. He received his Ph.D. from The

We are pleased to present this special issue on Attention and Perception, which covers some of the newest and most exciting developments in the field. Although studies of attention were amongst the earliest experimental investigations focused on uncovering psychological mechanisms ([1] cited in Refs [2,3]), the field has expanded considerably over the decades. This expansion reflects the many ways in which the mechanisms of attention are central to perception and cognition. However, it has been a mixed blessing. On the one hand, it speaks to the rich contribution of attentional mechanisms in information processing. On the other hand, it has led to a state in which the word ‘attention’ has come to mean different things to different subfields. In this special issue, we present 40 articles that illustrate the breadth of research in which attention is thought to play a critical role.

We approached this issue with two major purposes. First, for those who are new to the study of attention, this issue will introduce recent approaches to studying this central cognitive function that showcase the sophisticated convergent methodologies used for this line of inquiry. Second, for those who are expert in this field, the breadth of articles in this issue will offer new, interesting, and provocative ideas for future research. Below we describe clusters of these reviews and highlight the original bedrock topics in attention from which they developed. We believe that these reviews reflect the extent of methodological practices and current state of the art for various attentional mechanisms and as such serves as a catalogue for the scope of attentional processing.

Traditional cognitive models of attention have focused on the dichotomy between ‘top-down’ attentional signals that originate from volitional, endogenous signals within the observer and ‘bottom-up’ signals based on sensory salience present in the environment [4]. However, recent theories posit multiple sources of information that are neither purely volitional nor entirely based on sensory salience. Several current reviews discuss how these other sources of information contribute to determine attentional priority, including priming [5], selection history [6], reward associations [7], learned habits [8], self-related information [9], and interactions between prior knowledge of real-world objects [10], scenes [11], and distractor context [12]. Two articles address strategic factors that impact individual attentional abilities [13,14], and one summarizes methodological tools to probe strategy use in attention-related tasks [14]. Many of these reviews underscore that a major future challenge will be to understand how different internal and task-related sources of information are integrated during complex behaviors in real world environments.

The study of the brain mechanisms underlying attention has historically been divided between those that modulate sensory ‘site’ regions, and those

Johns Hopkins University. Broadly, his research aims to understand the goal-directed control of attention. This includes investigation of our abilities to resist distraction and suppress irrelevant information, the influences of past experience, and the factors motivating the choice of optimal vs. suboptimal control strategies.

### Sarah Shomstein



Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, George Washington University, United States  
e-mail: [shom@gwu.edu](mailto:shom@gwu.edu)

Sarah Shomstein is a professor of Cognitive Neuroscience in the department of Psychological and Brain Sciences at the George Washington University. She received her Ph.D. from The Johns Hopkins University. Her research focuses on elucidating the mechanisms of attentional selection. Namely, examining factors that influence attentional selection with the aim of being able to predict what aspects of the perceptual environment are more efficiently represented in the human brain.

that set attentional priority in higher order network of ‘source’ regions [15]. Foundational work on ‘site’ regions has shown that attention operates by modulating sensory processing to enhance goal-related information. Several reviews describe the evidence for these early modulations in response to space and feature-based attention triggered by information from one or multiple modalities [16–22], while also describing potential limitations of sensory modulation to fully describe our behavioral and subjective experiences [19,23]. Several reviews address current controversies surrounding the mechanisms of sensory modulation. Two reviews present differing views on the computational significance of alpha oscillations measured from scalp EEG [17,21]. Another set of reviews present different perspectives on the theoretical and computational relationship between expectations and attention in sensory processing [24,25]. There is also a review that revisits the concept of preattentive features and argues for a distinction between basic visual information used by selective attention and early visual features, which points towards novel ways to consider the relationship between cognitive and neural models of attention [26]. Overall, these reviews illustrate the depth of knowledge gained and the open questions that remain to be answered regarding how mechanisms of attention operate within the early visual system.

With regard to the ‘source’ regions of attentional control, it has long been appreciated that frontoparietal networks that are involved in oculomotor control play a crucial role in attentional control [27]. However, the specific functional divisions between regions and the complete network are still unknown. Several reviews in this issue provide new theories for the specific functional role of cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar brain regions, and their interactions in attentional control [18,28–30], as well as differences between the two hemispheres [31]. One review discusses the many ways in which attention and performance follow different periodic tempos that operate over milliseconds to days [32]. Several reviews also deal directly with elucidating the intricate relationship between eye-movements and attention [24,33,34] and their relationship to other cognitive functions such as working memory, decision making, and motor actions [18,35,36]. These reviews provide evidence for a direct link between oculomotor control and attentional functions, but also suggest that the transformations in information processing between the two are more complex than previously appreciated and may integrate computations for working memory and decisions.

The fact that attention is an essential component in a large range of cognitive functions is evident in the many neurological and psychiatric disorders that include deficits in attentional processing. However, the causes of the attentional deficits are still largely unknown. Several reviews address how attentional dysfunctions might be predicted during development [34,37] and arise from neuromodulatory systems controlling acetylcholine and norepinephrine [13,24,38,39]. Several others focus on the flip-side of dysfunctions and consider how novel interventions, including training and neurofeedback, can be used to treat and improve attention [34,37,40–42] or counteract attentional biases toward negative stimuli that may contribute to depression [43].

Work on attention has been overwhelmingly focused on questions of how goal-related information is represented and enhanced. More recently, there has been a renewed interest in probing attentional mechanisms involved in downregulating, or suppressing, distractor processing. Several reviews tackle this issue, suggesting that there are attentional mechanisms unique to distractor suppression that may differ qualitatively from those of

target selection [21,44–46]. These studies suggest avenues for further research that promise to illuminate the complementary functions of target selection and distractor suppression.

To summarize, in addition to the two primary goals we set out for this issue (serving as a gateway to the topic, as well as introducing reconceptualization of attentional mechanisms involved in selection), we hope that the breadth represented here will serve as a reminder of the importance of being cautious in defining exactly which attentional mechanisms are investigated within specific studies and of developing testable theories that may guide research within multiple subfields. Ultimately, we hope that this collection offers new and provocative ideas that will help shape research on attention in coming years.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by RO1MH113855-01 to J.J.G., NSF BCS-1921415 and BCS-1534823 to S.S., and NSF BCS-1632296 to A.B.L.

## References

- Helmholtz : *ImholtzHandbuch der physiologischen optik*. In *Dritter Abschnitt*. Edited by Auflage et. Hamburg: Voss; 1896.
- Carrasco M: **Visual attention: the past 25 years**. *Vision Res* 2011, **51**:1484-1525 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2011.04.012>.
- Nakayama K, Mackeben M: **Sustained and transient components of focal visual attention**. *Vision Res* 1989, **29**:1631-1647.
- Egeth HE, Yantis S: **Visual attention: control, representation, and time course**. *Annu Rev Psychol* 1997, **48**:269-297 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.48.1.269>.
- Kristjansson A, Asgeirsson AG: **Attentional priming: recent insights and current controversies**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:71-75 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.013>.
- Theeuwes J: **Goal-driven, stimulus-driven, and history-driven selection**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:97-101 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.12.024>.
- Anderson BA: **Neurobiology of value-driven attention**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:27-33 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.004>.
- Jiang YV, Sisk CA: **Habit-like attention**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:65-70 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.014>.
- Sui J, Rotshtein P: **Self-prioritization and the attentional systems**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:148-152 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.02.010>.
- Shomstein S, Malcolm GL, Nah JC: **Intrusive effects of task-irrelevant information on visual selective attention: semantics and size**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:153-159 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.02.008>.
- Vo ML, Boettcher SE, Draschkow D: **Reading scenes: how scene grammar guides attention and aids perception in real-world environments**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:205-210 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.009>.
- Geng JJ, Witkowski P: **Template-to-distractor distinctiveness regulates visual search efficiency**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:119-125 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.003>.
- Esterman M, Rothlein D: **Models of sustained attention**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:174-180 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.005>.
- Leber AB, Irons JL: **A methodological toolbox for investigating attentional strategy**. *Current Opinion in Psychology* 2019, **29**:274-281 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.08.008>.
- Reynolds JH, Chelazzi L: **Attentional modulation of visual processing**. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2004, **27**:611-647 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.26.041002.131039>.
- Carrasco M, Barbot A: **Spatial attention alters visual appearance**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:56-64 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.10.010>.
- Foster JJ, Awh E: **The role of alpha oscillations in spatial attention: limited evidence for a suppression account**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:34-40 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.001>.
- Jonikaitis D, Moore T: **The interdependence of attention, working memory and gaze control: behavior and neural circuitry**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:126-134 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.012>.
- Liu T: **Feature-based attention: effects and control**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:187-192 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.013>.
- Stormer VS: **Orienting spatial attention to sounds enhances visual processing**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:193-198 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.010>.
- Van Diepen RM, Foxe JJ, Mazaheri A: **The functional role of alpha-band activity in attentional processing: the current zeitgeist and future outlook**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:229-238 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.015>.
- Yeshurun Y: **The spatial distribution of attention**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:76-81 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.12.008>.
- Knotts JD, Odegaard B, Lau H, Rosenthal D: **Subjective inflation: phenomenology's get-rich-quick scheme**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:49-55 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.006>.
- Parr T, Friston KJ: **Attention or salience?** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:1-5 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.10.006>.
- Rungratsameetaweemana N, Serences JT: **Dissociating the impact of attention and expectation on early sensory processing**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:181-186 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.014>.
- Wolfe JM, Utochkin IS: **What is a preattentive feature?** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:19-26 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.005>.
- Rizzolatti G, Lucia R, Dascoloa I, Umlita C: **Reorienting attention across the horizontal and vertical meridians: evidence in favor of a premotor theory of attention**. *Neuropsychologia* 1987, **25**(1):31-40.
- Bisley JW, Mirpour K: **The neural instantiation of a priority map**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:108-112 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.002>.
- Brissenden JA, Somers DC: **Cortico-cerebellar networks for visual attention and working memory**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:239-247 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.05.003>.
- Helfrich RF, Breska A, Knight RT: **Neural entrainment and network resonance in support of top-down guided attention**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:82-89 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.12.016>.
- Bartolomeo P, Malkinson TS: **Hemispheric lateralization of attention processes in the human brain**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:90-96.
- Shalev N, Bauer AR, Nobre AC: **The tempos of performance**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:254-260 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.003>.
- Golomb JD: **Remapping locations and features across saccades: a dual-spotlight theory of attentional updating**. *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:211-218 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.018>.

34. Vergheze P, McKee SP, Levi DM: **Attention deficits in Amblyopia.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:199-204 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.011>.
35. Krajbich I: **Accounting for attention in sequential sampling models of decision making.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:6-11 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.10.008>.
36. Song J-H: **The role of attention in motor control and learning.** *Current Opinion in Psychology* 2019, **29**:261-265 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.08.002>.
37. Kim NY, Kastner S: **A biased competition theory for the developmental cognitive neuroscience of visuo-spatial attention.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:219-228 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.017>.
38. Malhotra PA: **Impairments of attention in Alzheimer's disease.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:41-48 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.11.002>.
39. Sarter M, Lustig C: **Cholinergic double duty: cue detection and attentional control.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:102-107 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.12.026>.
40. Chopin A, Bediou B, Bavelier D: **Altering perception: the case of action video gaming.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:168-173 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.004>.
41. Fisher AV: **Selective sustained attention: a developmental foundation for cognition.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:248-253 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.002>.
42. Kramer MR, Porfido CL, Mitroff SR: **Evaluation of strategies to train visual search performance in professional populations.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:113-118 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.01.001>.
43. Mennen AC, Norman KA, Turk-Browne NB: **Attentional bias in depression: understanding mechanisms to improve training and treatment.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.036>.
44. Chelazzi L, Marini F, Pascucci D, Turatto M: **Getting rid of visual distractors: the why, when, how, and where.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:135-147 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.02.004>.
45. Gaspelin N, Luck SJ: **Inhibition as a potential resolution to the attentional capture debate.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2018, **29**:12-18 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.10.013>.
46. Liesefeld HR, Muller HJ: **Distractor handling via dimension weighting.** *Curr Opin Psychol* 2019, **29**:160-167 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.003>.